IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No 1137 of 2003

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

BARANDA SHANTABEN WIFE OF KANTIBHAI DHUDABHAI BARANDA

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Special Criminal Application No. 1137 of 2003

MR HRIDAY BUCH for Petitioner No. 1-2

MR. V.M.PANCHOLI, APP for Respondent No. 1

RULE SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 2-3

..... for Respondent No. 4-13

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date of decision: 24/06/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr. Hriday Buch, learned advocate of the petitioner states that criminal trial arising out of crime register vide CR No. M Case No. 28 of 2003 at Bhiloda police station is concluded, therefore this petition has become infructuous. He, therefore, urged to pass appropriate order.

2. Mr. V.M.Pancholi, learned APP has no objection if appropriate order is passed in view of the statement made by Mr. Hriday Buch, learned advocate of the petitioner.

3. In aforesaid view of the matter, since criminal trial arising out of crime register vide CR No. M Case No. 28 of 2003 at Bhiloda police station is concluded, this petition has become infructuous. Hence, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged.

(A.M.Kapadia,J)

Jayanti*